arXiv:1408.6882v3 [math.CV] 27 Mar 2016

Normal Forms and Degenerate CR Singularities

Valentin Burcea

Abstract. Let (z,w) be the coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^2$. We construct a normal form for a class of real formal surfaces $M\subset\mathbb{C}^2$ defined near a degenerate CR singularity $p=0$ as follows

$$w=P\left(z,\overline{z}\right)+\mathrm{O}\left(|z|^{k_0+1}\right),$$

where $P\left(z,\overline{z}\right)$ is a real-valued homogeneous polynomial in $(z,\overline{z})$ of degree $k_0\geq 3$ such that the coefficients of z^{k_0} and $\overline{z}^{k_0}$ are vanishing.

V. Burcea: Departament of Mathematics, The University Federal of Santa Catarina, Florianopolis, Brazil
valentin@maths.tcd.ie

Keywords: CR Singularity, normal form, Fischer decomposition
This project has been supported partially by CAPES

1. Introduction and Main Result

The study of real submanifolds in a complex space near a CR singularity goes back to Bishop[**5**]. A point $p\in M$ is called a CR singularity if it is a jumping discontinuity point for the map $M\ni q\longrightarrow \dim_{\mathbb{R}} T^c_q M$ defined near p. Bishop[**5**] considered the case when there exists coordinates (z,w) in $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that near a CR singularity $p=0$, the surface $M\subset\mathbb{C}^2$ is defined locally by

$$w=z\overline{z}+\lambda\left(z^2+\overline{z}^2\right)+\mathrm{O}(3), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\lambda\in[0,\infty]$ is a holomorphic invariant called the Bishop invariant. When $\lambda=\infty$, M is understood to be defined by the equation $w=z^2+\overline{z}^2+\mathrm{O}(3)$. If λ is non-exceptional Moser-Webster[**24**] proved that there exists a formal transformation that sends M into the following normal form

$$w=z\overline{z}+\left(\lambda+\epsilon u^q\right)\left(z^2+\overline{z}^2\right),\quad \epsilon\in\{0,-1,+1\},\quad q\in\mathbb{N}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $w=u+iv$. Moser[**25**] constructed when $\lambda=0$ the following partial normal form:

$$w=z\overline{z}+2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\sum_{j\geq s}a_j z^j\right\}. \tag{1.3}$$

Here $s:=\min\{j\in\mathbb{N}^\star;\ a_j\neq 0\}$ is the simplest higher order invariant, known as the Moser invariant. When $s<\infty$ Huang-Yin[**15**] proved that (1.3) can be formally transformed into the following normal form

$$w=z\overline{z}+2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\sum_{j\geq s}a_j z^j\right\},\quad a_s=1,\quad a_j=0,\quad \text{if}\quad j=0,1 \bmod s,\quad j>s. \tag{1.4}$$

In this note, we construct a normal form for a surface $M\subset\mathbb{C}^2$ defined near $p=0$ as follows

$$w=P(z,\overline{z})+\sum_{j+l\geq k_0+1}a_{j,l}z^j\overline{z}^l, \tag{1.5}$$

where $P(z,\overline{z})$ is real-valued homogeneous polynomial in $(z,\overline{z})$ of degree $k_0\geq 3$ having the coefficients of its pure terms vanishing. Our case (1.5) is different from the classical case (1.1) when $\lambda=0$ studied intially by Moser[**25**] and requires a different approach using the Fischer decomposition[**23**] that has been applied by Zaitsev[**26**],[**27**],[**28**] in other situations. In order to develop a partial normal form we define the following Fischer-normalization space:

$$S_N:=\left\{\begin{array}{c} S(z,\overline{z})=S_0(z,\overline{z}) \text{ homogeneous polynomial of degree } N \text{ in } (z,\overline{z}) \text{ such that}\\ S_j(z,\overline{z})=S_{j+1}(z,\overline{z})P(z,\overline{z})+T_{j+1}(z,\overline{z})\\ \text{and } P^\star\left(T_{j+1}(z,\overline{z})\right)=0, \text{ where the following normalizations hold:}\\ \left(z^{N-jk_0}\right)^\star\left(S_j(z,\overline{z})\right)=0,\forall j=0,\dots,\left[\frac{N}{k_0}\right],\quad \left(\overline{z}^{N-jk_0}\right)^\star\left(S_j(z,\overline{z})\right)=0,\forall\ j=1,\dots,\left[\frac{N}{k_0}\right]. \end{array}\right\}, \tag{1.6}$$

for all $N\geq k_0+1$, where we have used by [**23**] the following notation

$$P^\star=\sum_{m+n=k_0}\overline{p_{m,n}}\frac{\partial^{m+n}}{\partial z^m\partial\overline{z}^n},\quad \text{if } P(z,\overline{z})=\sum_{m+n=k_0}p_{m,n}z^m\overline{z}^n. \tag{1.7}$$

We observe that the Fischer normalization conditions defined by $\mathcal{S}_N$ generalize the normalization conditions defined by the Moser partial normal form [**25**]. More exactly, the space $\mathcal{S}_N$ defined by (1.6) with respect to the polynomial $P(z,\overline{z})$ is trivial.

In order to find a normal form for the surfaces defined by (1.5), we impose firstly the following nondegeneracy condition

$$s := \min\left\{a_{0,l}; \quad l \geq k_0+1\right\} < \infty. \tag{1.8}$$

Here s is the simplest holomorphic invariant, similar to the classical case (1.1) when $\lambda = 0$, which was studied by Huang-Yin[**15**]. The nondegeneracy condition (1.8) helps us to find a normal form for the surfaces defined as in (1.5). Our case requires also the following nondegeneracy conditions

$$p_{1,k_0-1} \neq 0, \quad \alpha, \alpha^2 \neq 0, s, \quad \text{where } zP_z(z,\overline{z}) = \alpha P(z,\overline{z}) + R(z,\overline{z}) \text{ and } P^\star\left(R(z,\overline{z})\right) = 0. \tag{1.9}$$

Throughout this note, in order to simplify the computations, we assume without restricting the generality of our case the following

$$p_{1,k_0-1} = 1.$$

The main result of this note is the following

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a formal surface defined near $p = 0$ by (1.5) satisfying the nondegeneracy conditions (1.8) and (1.9). Then there exists a unique formal transformation of the following type*

$$\left(z', w'\right) = \left(z + \sum_{k+l\geq 2} f_{k,l} z^k w^l, \quad w + \sum_{k+l\geq 2} g_{k,l} z^k w^l\right), \tag{1.10}$$

that transforms M into the following formal normal form:

$$w' = P\left(z', \overline{z'}\right) + \sum_{m+n\geq k_0+1} a'_{m,n} z'^m \overline{z'}^n, \tag{1.11}$$

where the following Fischer normalization conditions are satisfied

$$\sum_{m+n=N} a'_{m,n} z'^m \overline{z'}^n \in \mathcal{S}_N, \quad \textit{for all } N \geq k_0+1, \tag{1.12}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_N$ is defined in (1.6), and as well the following normalization conditions holds

$$a'_{0,k} = 0, \quad \textit{for all } k \equiv 0, k_0-1 \mod s. \tag{1.13}$$

The normalization conditions (1.12) leaves undetermined an infinite number of parameters which are acting at the higher degree levels in the local defining equations, because the group of formal automorphisms preserving the origin of the model $w = P(z,\overline{z})$ is infinite dimensional similarly to the classical case (1.1) when $\lambda = 0$ studied by Huang-Yin[**15**]. The space $\mathcal{S}_N$ defined by (1.6) helps us just to develop a partial normal form and those free parameters are determined using the normalization conditions (1.13). In order to impose these normalization conditions (1.13) we apply the methods developed by Huang-Yin[**15**] using the model $w = P(z,\overline{z}) + z^s + \overline{z}^s$ instead of the previous model $w = P(z,\overline{z})$. The only obstacle that appears here is that we can not define an system of weights for $(z,\overline{z})$ as in the case of Huang-Yin[**15**], that can make the model $w = P(z,\overline{z}) + z^s$ homogeneous. In order to overcome this problem, we use a different strategy by considering a different type of weights system called here system of pseudo-weights (see Subsection 4.1), which helps us to apply the methods of Huang-Yin[**15**] preserving the normalization conditions defined by the space $\mathcal{S}_N$. These methods allow us to construct a normal form, but can not detect any set of invariants associated to the surface M defined by (1.11). We have to mention that Huang and Yin discovered a complete system of invariants for the surfaces defined by (1.1) with the vanishing Bishop invariant. They[**15**] proved that the set of complex numbers $\{a_i\}_{i\geq s}$ given by (1.4) defines a complete set of invariants for (1.4).

We would like to mention here that the real submanifolds near a CR singularity in the complex space under unimodular transformations have been studied by Gong[**10**],[**11**]. Furthermore, the CR singular points of the real submanifolds are interesting because the CR singularities can contribute to the structure of the local hull of holomorphy as it has been shown by Kenig-Webster[**18**], Huang-Krantz[**13**] and Huang-Yin [**16**]. Furthermore, Forstneric-Stout[**9**] proved that the surfaces defined in (1.1) with $2\lambda > 1$ are local polynomial convex at $p = 0$. Problems regarding the local polynomial convexity when $2\lambda = 1$ have been studied by Jöricke[**17**]. Surfaces in $\mathbb{C}^2$ with degenerate CR singularities and related local polynomial convexity problems near degenerate CR singularities have been studied by Bharali[**1**],[**2**],[**3**],[**4**]. In codimensions different from 2, we mention the work of Coffman[**7**],[**8**] about CR singularities.

We do not know if the normal form (1.11) is convergent. In general, a normal form is not necessarily convergent as it has been shown recently by Kolar[**20**]. For cases when a normal form is convergent we would like to mention the recent work of Kossovskiy-Zaitsev[**22**].

Ackowlodgements This project has been started by me when I was Ph.D. student at the School of Mathematics, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland. I am grateful to Prof. Dmitri Zaitsev for constant support and to Prof. Xiaojun Huang for useful discussions regarding the Generalization[**14**] of the Theorem of Moser[**25**]. I would like to thank also Prof. Benjamin McKay and Ilya Kossovskiy for reading the previous versions of this note. I thank as well for its hospitality the Department of Mathematics of the Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil. This project was supported partially by CAPES.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notations. Throughout this note, we use the following notations

$$a_{\geq l}(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_{m+n\geq l} a_{m,n} z^m \overline{z}^n, \quad a_l(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_{m+n\geq l} a_{m,n} z^m \overline{z}^n, \quad \text{for all } l \geq k_0+1.$$

2.2. Transformation Equations. Let (z,w) be the holomorphic coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and let $M\subset\mathbb{C}^2$ be the surface defined near $p=0$ by the following equation

$$w=P(z,\overline{z})+\sum_{m+n\geq k_0+1}a_{m,n}z^m\overline{z}^n. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $M'\subset\mathbb{C}^2$ be another surface defined near $p'=0$ by the

$$w'=P\left(z',\overline{z'}\right)+\sum_{m+n\geq k_0+1}a'_{m,n}z'^m\overline{z'}^n. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $(z,w)=(f(z,w),g(z,w))$ be a formal transformation which sends M into M' and that fixes the point $0\in\mathbb{C}^2$. It follows by (2.2) that

$$g(z,w)=P\left(f(z,w),\overline{f(z,w)}\right)+\sum_{m+n\geq k_0+1}a'_{m,n}\left(f(z,w)\right)^m\overline{\left(f(z,w)\right)^n}, \tag{2.3}$$

where w is defined by (2.1). Writing that $f(z,w)=\sum_{m+n\geq 0}f_{m,n}z^mw^n$ and that $g(z,w)=\sum_{m+n\geq 0}g_{m,n}z^mw^n$, by (2.3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m+n\geq 0}g_{m,n}z^m\left(P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right)^n=\\ P\left(\sum_{m+n\geq 0}f_{m,n}z^m\left(P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right)^n,\ \sum_{m+n\geq 0}f_{m,n}z^m\left(P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right)^n\right)\\ +a'_{\geq k_0+1}\left(\sum_{m+n\geq 0}f_{m,n}z^m\left(P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right)^n,\ \sum_{m+n\geq 0}f_{m,n}z^m\left(P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right)^n\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Since our map fixes the point $0\in\mathbb{C}^2$, it follows that $g_{0,0}=0$ and $f_{0,0}=0$. Collecting the terms of bidegree $(m,0)$ in $(z,\overline{z})$ in (2.4), for all $m<k_0$, it follows that $g_{m,0}=0$, for all $m<k_0$. Collecting the sums of terms of bidegree (m,n) in $(z,\overline{z})$ with $m+n=k_0$ in (2.4), it follows that

$$g_{0,1}P(z,\overline{z})=P\left(f_{1,0}z,\overline{f_{1,0}}z\right). \tag{2.5}$$

Then (2.5) describes all the possible values of $g_{0,1}$ and $f_{1,0}$ and in particulary we obtain that $\mathsf{Im}\,g_{0,1}=0$. By composing with an linear automorphism of the model manifold $\mathsf{Re}\,w=P(z,\overline{z})$, we can assume that $g_{0,1}=1$, $f_{1,0}=1$. By a careful analysis of the terms interactions in (2.4), we conclude that in order to put suitable normalization conditions, we have to consider the following terms

$$g_{m,n}z^m\left(P(z,z)\right)^n,\quad f_{m,n}z^mP_z(z,\overline{z})\left(P(z,\overline{z})\right)^n,\quad \overline{f_{m,n}z^mP_z(z,\overline{z})}\left(P(z,\overline{z})\right)^n.$$

Collecting the sum of terms of bidegree (m,n) in $(z,\overline{z})$ with $T=m+n$ in (2.4), it follows that

$$\sum_{m+n=T}\left(a'_{m,n}z'^m\overline{z'}^n-a_{m,n}z^m\overline{z}^n\right)=g_T\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)-2\mathsf{Re}\left\{P_z(z,\overline{z})f_T\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)\right\}+\ldots,$$

where we have used the following notations

$$g_T(z,w)=\sum_{m+nk_0=T}g_{m,n}z^mw^n,\quad f_T(z,w)=\sum_{m+nk_0+k_01=T}f_{m,n}z^mw^n,$$

and where the terms defined by "...,, depend on $f_{k,l}$ with $k+k_0l+k_0-1<T-1$ and as well on $g_{k,l}$ with $k+k_0l<T$.

3. Construction of the partial normal form defined by the Fischer normalization space $\mathcal{S}_N$

Considering the Fischer normalization condition (1.12) on the following sum of terms

$$\sum_{m+n=T}a_{m,n}z^mz^n,\quad \text{for all } T\geq k_0+1,$$

we want to determine the polynomials $\left(f_{T-k_0+1}(z,w),\ g_T(z,w)\right)$. We consider the following Fischer decompositions

$$z^{T-k_0}zP_z(z,\overline{z})=\alpha z^{T-k_0}P(z,\overline{z})+R(z,\overline{z}),\quad z^{T-k_0}zP_z(z,\overline{z})=\alpha z^{T-k_0}P(z,\overline{z})+R(z,\overline{z}),$$

where $zP_z(z,\overline{z})=\alpha P(z,\overline{z})+R(z,\overline{z})$, with $P^\star\left(R(z,\overline{z})\right)=0$, $\alpha\neq 0$. Imposing the Fischer normalization conditions (1.12), we determine the formal transformation mapping (1.10) as long as $T\neq 0,1 \mod k_0$. It is clear that the space previously introduced in (1.6) is well defined because each pure polynomial belongs to the kernel of the Fischer differential operator $P^\star$ defined in (1.7). We observe that $f_{0,n}$ and $f_{1,n}$ remain undetermined parameters for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. These parameters act on the higher bidegree terms helping us to impose the normalization conditions defined in (1.13).

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

4.1. The system of pseudo-weights. The Fischer normalization conditions (1.12) provide the formal transformation mapping (1.10), except for $f_{0,n+1}$, $f_{1,n}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that are the free parameters which are acting on the higher degree levels in the local defining equations. In order to apply Huang-Yin's algorithm[**15**] using the model $w = P(z,\overline{z}) + z^s + \overline{z}^s$, we use a different strategy defining an system of pseudo-weights as follows

$$\mathrm{wt}_{(\gamma,\beta)}\left\{\mathrm{z}^{\gamma}\overline{\mathrm{z}}^{\beta}\right\} := \begin{cases} k_0 - 1, & \text{if } 2 \leq \gamma+\beta < k_0 - 1 \text{ and } \gamma,\beta \neq 0; \\ s-1 & \text{if } \gamma+\beta = k_0-1 \text{ and } \gamma,\beta \neq 0, \end{cases} \quad \mathrm{wt}\{\mathrm{z}\} = 1,\ \mathrm{wt}\{\overline{\mathrm{z}}\} = \frac{\mathrm{s}-1}{\mathrm{k_0}-1}. \tag{4.1}$$

In particular, we observe that $\mathrm{wt}\{\mathrm{P_z}(\mathrm{z},\overline{\mathrm{z}})\} = \mathrm{k_0}-1$ and that $\mathrm{wt}\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z},\overline{\mathrm{z}})\} = \mathrm{s}$. When $\gamma+\beta > k_0$ such that $1 \leq \beta \leq k_0 - 2$ we define the pseudo-weight as follows

$$\mathrm{wt}\left\{\mathrm{z}^{\gamma}\overline{\mathrm{z}}^{\beta}\right\} = \gamma + \mathrm{s} - \mathrm{k_0}.$$

We clearly have different ways how to define $\mathrm{wt}\left\{\mathrm{z}^{\gamma}\overline{\mathrm{z}}^{\beta}\right\}$ for $\gamma+\beta > k_0$. We introduce the following definition

$$\mathrm{wt}\left\{\mathrm{z}^{\gamma}\overline{\mathrm{z}}^{\beta}\right\} := \mathrm{s} - 1 + \mathrm{wt}\left\{\mathrm{z}^{\gamma-\gamma_1}\overline{\mathrm{z}}^{\beta-\beta_1}\right\}, \quad \text{where } \gamma_1+\beta_1 = k_0-1,\ \gamma_1,\beta_1 \neq 0.$$

We introduce the following definition of pseudo-weight in the most general situation

$$\mathrm{wt}\left\{\mathrm{z}^{\gamma}\overline{\mathrm{z}}^{\beta}\right\} := \min \mathrm{wt}_{\gamma,\beta}\left\{\mathrm{z}^{\gamma}\overline{\mathrm{z}}^{\beta}\right\}.$$

It is clear by the definition that $\mathrm{wt}\left\{(P(z,\overline{z}))^2\right\} = 2s$, because $\mathrm{wt}\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z},\overline{\mathrm{z}})\} = \mathrm{s}$, but it is not true generally that $\mathrm{wt}\left\{z^{\gamma}\overline{z}^{\beta}\right\} = \mathrm{wt}\{z^{\gamma}\} + \mathrm{wt}\left\{\overline{z}^{\beta}\right\}$ and this makes our case different than the classical case (1.4) when the Bishop invariant is vanishing when the last equality holds.

We define now the set of the normal weights as follows

$$\mathrm{wt_{nor}}\{\mathrm{w}\} = \mathrm{k_0}, \quad \mathrm{wt_{nor}}\{\mathrm{z}\} = \mathrm{wt_{nor}}\{\overline{\mathrm{z}}\} = 1.$$

If $h(z,w)$ is a formal power series with no constant term we introduce the following notations

$$h(z,w) = \sum_{l\geq 1} h^{(l)}_{\mathrm{nor}}(z,w), \quad \text{where } h^{(l)}_{\mathrm{nor}}\left(tz, t^{k_0}w\right) = t^l h^{(l)}_{\mathrm{nor}}(z,w), \tag{4.2}$$

$$h_{\geq l}(z,w) = \sum_{k\geq l} h^{(k)}_{\mathrm{nor}}(z,w).$$

Throughout this note, we denote by $\Theta^{\Lambda}_N(z,\overline{z})$ a formal power series with terms in $z,\overline{z}$ of degree at least Λ and pseudo-weight at least N, and respectively by $\mathbb{P}^{\Lambda}_N(z,\overline{z})$ a bihomogeneous polynomial with terms in $z,\overline{z}$ of degree Λ and pseudo-weight at least N.

4.2. Construction Strategy. By Section 3 we can assume that the surface M is given by the following partial normal form

$$w = P(z,\overline{z}) + \sum_{m+n\geq k_0+1}^{T+1} a_{m,n}(z,\overline{z}) + \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{T}+2\right), \tag{4.3}$$

where the Fischer normalization conditions (1.12) are satisfied, for all $k_0+1 \leq m+n \leq T$.

We make induction on $T \geq k_0+1$ and we apply Huang-Yin's algorithm [**15**] in order to track and compute the parameters left undetermined by the normalization conditions (1.12). More exactly, when $T+1 \notin \{ts;\ t\in\mathbb{N}^{\star}-\{1,2\}\} \cup \{ts+k_0-1;\ t\in\mathbb{N}^{\star}\}$ we impose the normalization conditions (1.12). In the case when $T+1 \in \{ts;\ t\in\mathbb{N}^{\star}-\{1\}\} \cup \{ts+k_0-1;\ t\in\mathbb{N}^{\star}\}$, we search a formal map which sends our surface M into a new surface M' given by

$$w' = P\left(z',\overline{z'}\right) + \sum_{m+n\geq k_0+1}^{T+1} a'_{m,n} z'^n \overline{z'}^m + \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{T}+2\right), \tag{4.4}$$

where the Fischer normalization conditions (1.12) and (1.13) are satisfied, for all $k = s+1,\ldots,T$ with $k = 0,1 \bmod (\mathrm{s})$.

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1-Case $T+1 = ts+k_0-1$, $t\geq 1$. Throughout this subsection we consider the case when $T+1 = ts+k_0-1$, where $t \geq 1$. We are looking for a biholomorphic transformation of the following type

$$\left(z',w'\right) = \left(z+f(z,w),\ w+g(z,w)\right) = \left(z + \sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t} f^{(k_0t+l)}_{\mathrm{nor}}(z,w),\ w + \sum_{\tau=0}^{T-k_0t} g^{(k_0t+k_0-1+\tau)}_{\mathrm{nor}}(z,w)\right), \tag{4.5}$$

that maps M into M' up to the degree $T+1 = ts+k_0-1$. In order for the preceding mapping to be uniquely determined we assume that $f^{(k_0t+l+1)}_{\mathrm{nor}}(z,w)$ has no free parameters, for all $l = 1,\ldots T-k_0t$. Substituting (4.5) into (4.4), we obtain by a simplification with (4.3) the

following equation

$$\sum_{\tau=0}^{T-k_0t} g_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+k_0-1+\tau)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right)=2{\rm Re}\left\{P_z(z,\overline{z})\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t} f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right)\right\}$$
$$+2{\rm Re}\left\{\sum_{\alpha+\beta=2}^{k_0} P_{z^\alpha\overline{z}^\beta}(z,\overline{z})\left(\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t} f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right)\right)^\alpha\right.$$
$$\left.\left(\overline{\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t} f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right)}\right)^\beta\right\}$$
$$+a'_{\geq k_0+1}\left(z+\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t} f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right),\overline{z+\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t} f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right)}\right)$$
$$-a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z}). \tag{4.6}$$

Since $f(z,w)$ and $g(z,w)$ do not have components of normal weight less than $2t+2$, collecting in (4.6) the sum of terms of bidegree (m,n) in $(z,\overline{z})$ with $m+n<k_0t+k_0-1$, we obtain that $a'_{m,n}=a_{m,n}$. Collecting the sum of terms of bidegree (m,n) in $(z,\overline{z})$ with $m+n=k_0t+k_0-1$ from (4.6), we prove the following lemma:

LEMMA 4.1. *$g_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+k_0-1)}(z,w)=0$ and $f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t)}(z,w)=a\alpha w^t-az^{k_0}w^{t-1}$, where α is defined by (1.9).*

PROOF. Considering the corresponding iterated Fischer normalization conditions defined in (1.12), the terms that contain the undetermined parameter a are the following $g_{k_0-1,t}\left(P\left(z,\overline{z}\right)\right)^t-\left(f_{k_0,t-k_0}z^{k_0}P_z\left(z,\overline{z}\right)+\overline{f_{0,t}P_z\left(z,\overline{z}\right)}P\left(z,\overline{z}\right)\right)\left(P\left(z,\overline{z}\right)\right)^{t-1}$. By the uniqueness of the Fischer decomposition we obtain that $g_{k_0-1,t}=0$ and as well our conclusion by taking $a=f_{k_0,t-k_0}$ and by using the Fischer decomposition $zP_z(z,\overline{z})=\alpha P\left(z,\overline{z}\right)+R\left(z,\overline{z}\right)$, where $P^\star\left(R(z,\overline{z})\right)=0$. □

By (4.2) we write that $f(z,w)=f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t)}(z,w)+f_{\geq k_0t+1}(z,w)$ and $g(z,w)=g_{\geq k_0t+k_0}(z,w)$, where

$$f_{\geq k_0t+1}(z,w)=\sum_{k+k_0l\geq k_0t+1} f_{k,l}z^kw^l.$$

By Lemma 4.1 it follows that ${\rm wt}\left\{f_{\geq k_0t+1}(z,w)\right\}\geq\min\limits_{k+k_0l\geq k_0t+1}\{k+ls\}\geq\min\limits_{k+k_0l\geq k_0t+1}\{k+k_0l\}\geq k_0t+1$, and afterwards we obtain the following immediate estimates

$${\rm wt}\left\{f_{\geq k_0t+1}(z,w)\right\},{\rm wt}\left\{\overline{f_{\geq k_0t+1}(z,w)}\right\}\geq k_0t+1,\quad {\rm wt}\left\{f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t)}(z,w)\right\},{\rm wt}\left\{\overline{f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t)}(z,w)}\right\}\geq ts+k_0-s, \tag{4.7}$$

where w satisfies (4.3). Furthermore, by (4.7) it follows that

$$P\left(z+\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t} f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l)}(z,w),\overline{z+\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t} f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l)}(z,w)}\right)=P(z,\overline{z})+2{\rm Re}\left\{P_z(z,\overline{z})\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t} f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l)}(z,w)\right\}$$
$$+2{\rm Re}\left\{\sum_{\gamma+\beta=2}^{k_0} P_{z^\gamma\overline{z}^\beta}(z,\overline{z})\left(\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t} f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l)}(z,w)\right)^\gamma\left(\overline{\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t} f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l)}(z,w)}\right)^\beta\right\}$$
$$=P(z,\overline{z})+2{\rm Re}\left\{P_z(z,\overline{z})f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t)}(z,w)\right\}+2{\rm Re}\left\{\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})f_{\geq k_0t+1}(z,w)\right\}+\Theta_{ts+k_0}^{k_0t+s}(z,\overline{z}), \tag{4.8}$$

where w satisfies (4.3) and ${\rm wt}\left\{\Theta_{\rm ts+k_0}^{\rm k_0t+s}({\rm z},\overline{\rm z})\right\}\geq{\rm ts+k_0}$.

In order to track the action of the free parameter a we need to prove the following lemmas

LEMMA 4.2. *For all $m,n\geq 1$ with $m+n\geq k_0+1$ and w satisfying (4.3), we have the following estimate*

$$(z+f(z,w))^m\left(\overline{z+f(z,w)}\right)^n=z^m\overline{z}^n+2{\rm Re}\left\{\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})f_{\geq k_0t+1}(z,w)\right\}+\Theta_{ts+k_0}^{k_0t+2}(z,\overline{z}), \tag{4.9}$$

where ${\rm wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta_{ts+k_0}^{k_0t+2}(z,\overline{z})}\right\}\geq ts+k_0$.

PROOF. By the Taylor expansion we obtain that

$$(z+f(z,w))^m\left(\overline{z+f(z,w)}\right)^n=z^m\overline{z}^n+2{\rm Re}\left\{\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})\left(f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t)}(z,w)+f_{\geq k_0t+1}(z,w)\right)\right\}$$
$$=z^m\overline{z}^n+2{\rm Re}\left\{\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})f_{\geq k_0t+1}(z,w)\right\}+\Theta_{ts+k_0}^{k_0t+k_0}(z,\overline{z}), \tag{4.10}$$

where ${\rm wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta_{ts+k_0}^{k_0t+k_0}(z,\overline{z})}\right\}\geq ts+k_0$. □

Analogously we obtain the following

LEMMA 4.3. *For w satisfying (4.6) and for all $k > s$, we have the following estimation*

$$(z+f(z,w))^k = z^k + 2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})f_{\geq k_0 t+1}(z,w)\right\} + \Theta_{ts+k_0}^{k_0 t+2}(z,\overline{z}), \tag{4.11}$$

where $\mathrm{wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta_{ts+k_0}^{k_0 t+2}(z,\overline{z})}\right\} \geq ts+k_0$.

LEMMA 4.4. *For $f_{\mathrm{nor}}^{(k_0 t)}(z,w)$ given by Lemma 4.1 and w satisfying (4.6) we have*

$$2\mathsf{Re}\left\{P\left(f_{\mathrm{nor}}^{(k_0 t)}(z,w),z\right)\right\} = 2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\overline{a\alpha}z^{s-1+k_0}w^{t-1}\right\} + \Theta_{ts+k_0}^{k_0 t+2}(z,\overline{z}), \tag{4.12}$$

where $\mathrm{wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta_{ts+k_0}^{k_0 t+2}(z,\overline{z})}\right\} \geq ts+k_0$.

PROOF. Because the Fischer normalization conditions (1.12) are preserved, it follows that

$$2\mathsf{Re}\left\{P\left(f_{\mathrm{nor}}^{(k_0 t)}(z,w),z\right)\right\} = 2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\left(aw-\overline{a\alpha}z^{k_0-1}z\right)P_z(z,\overline{z})\right\}w^{t-1} + \Theta_{ts+k_0}^{k_0 t+2}(z,\overline{z}), \tag{4.13}$$

and because $\partial_z\left(P(z,\overline{z})\right) = \overline{z}^{k_0-1} + Q(z,\overline{z})$, it follows by the pseudo-weight definition that

$$\mathrm{wt}\left\{\mathrm{Q(z,\overline{z})z^{k_0-1}w^{t-1}P(z,\overline{z})}\right\},\ \mathrm{wt}\left\{\overline{\mathrm{Q(z,\overline{z})z^{k_0-1}w^{t-1}P(z,\overline{z})}}\right\} \geq \mathrm{ts+k_0},$$

from where we get (4.12) using some computations and the Fischer decomposition $zP_z(z,\overline{z}) = \alpha P(z,\overline{z}) + R(z,\overline{z})$, where $P^\star\left(R(z,\overline{z})\right) = 0$. □

LEMMA 4.5. *For w satisfying (4.6) we have the following estimate*

$$2\mathsf{Re}\left\{(z+f(z,w))^s\right\} = 2\mathsf{Re}\left\{z^s - s\overline{a}z^{s-1+k_0}w^{t-1}\right\} + 2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\left(sz^{s-1}+\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})\right)f_{\geq k_0 t+1}(z,w)\right\} + \Theta_{ts+k_0}^{k_0 t+2}(z,\overline{z}), \tag{4.14}$$

where $\mathrm{wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta_{ts+k_0}^{k_0 t+2}(z,\overline{z})}\right\} \geq ts+k_0$.

PROOF. Using the Taylor expansion, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(z+f(z,w))^s &= 2\mathsf{Re}\left\{z^s - s\overline{a}z^{s-1+k_0}w^{t-1}\right\} + 2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\Theta_s^{k_0}\left(f_{\mathrm{nor}}^{(k_0 t)}(z,w)+f_{\geq k_0 t+1}(z,w)\right)\right\}\\ &= 2\mathsf{Re}\left\{z^s - s\overline{a}z^{s-1+k_0}w^{t-1}\right\} + 2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\Theta_s^{k_0}f_{\geq k_0 t+1}(z,w)\right\} + \Theta_{ts+k_0}^{k_0 t+2}(z,\overline{z})\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

where w is given by (4.6) and $\mathrm{wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta_{ts+k_0}^{k_0 t+2}(z,\overline{z})}\right\} \geq ts+k_0$. □

By Lemmas 4.1-4.5 and by (4.6), (4.8), we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned}g_{\geq k_0 t+2}(z,w)) &= 2(1-s)\mathsf{Re}\left\{(\overline{\alpha}-s)\overline{a}z^{s+k_0-1}w^{t-1}\right\} + 2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\left(P_z(z,\overline{z})+sz^{s-1}+\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})\right)f_{\geq k_0 t+1}(z,w)\right\}\\ &\quad + a_{\geq k_0 t+2}(z,\overline{z}) - a'_{\geq k_0 t+2}(z,\overline{z}) + \Theta_{ts+k_0}^{k_0 t+2}(z,\overline{z}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

where $\mathrm{wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta_{ts+k_0}^{k_0 t+2}(z,\overline{z})}\right\} \geq ts+k_0$, w satisfies (4.3) and α is defined by (1.9).

Assume that $t=1$. Collecting the terms of total degree $k \leq s+k_0-1$ in $(z,\overline{z})$ in (4.16) we find the following pair of polynomials

$$\left(f_{\mathrm{nor}}^{(k+k_0-1)}(z,w),\ g_{\mathrm{nor}}^{(k)}(z,w)\right),$$

for all $k \leq s$. Collecting the terms of total degree $m+n = s+k_0-1$ in $(z,\overline{z})$ in (4.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}g_{\mathrm{nor}}^{(s+k_0-1)}(z,P(z,\overline{z})) &= 2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\overline{a}(\overline{\alpha}-s)z^{s+k_0-1}\right\} + 2\mathsf{Re}\left\{P_z(z,\overline{z})f_{\mathrm{nor}}^{(s)}(z,P(z,\overline{z}))\right\}\\ &\quad + a'_{s+k_0-1}(z,\overline{z}) - a_{s+k_0-1}(z,\overline{z}) + (\Theta_1)_{s+k_0}^{k_0+2}(z,\overline{z})..\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Imposing the normalization condition $a'_{0,s+k_0-1} = 0$ we compute the parameter a.

Assuming that $t \geq 2$, we prove the following lemma (this is the analogue of Lemma 3.3 of Huang-Yin's paper [**15**]):

LEMMA 4.6. *Let $N_s := ts+k_0-1$. For all $0 \leq j \leq t-1$ and $p \in [k_0 t+j(s-k_0)+k_0, k_0 t+(j+1)(s-k_0)+k_0-1]$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}g_{\geq p}(z,w) &= 2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\overline{a}(\overline{\alpha}-s)\left(\alpha-\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)^j z^{s(j+1)+k_0-1}w^{t-j-1}\right\} + 2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\left(P_z(z,\overline{z})+sz^{s-1}+\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})\right)f_{\geq p-1}(z,w)\right\}\\ &\quad + a'_{\geq p}(z,\overline{z}) - a_{\geq p}(z,\overline{z}) + \Theta_{N_s}^{p}(z,\overline{z}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

where $\mathrm{wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta_{N_s}^{p}(z,\overline{z})}\right\} \geq N_s$, *$w$ satisfies (4.3) and α is defined by (1.9).*

PROOF. We organize our proof in two steps.

Step 1. When $s = k_0+1$ this step is obvious. Assume that $s > k_0+1$. Let $p_0 = k_0 t+j(s-k_0)+k_0$, where $j \in [0,t-1]$. We make induction on $p \in [k_0 t+j(s-k_0)+k_0, k_0 t+(j+1)(s-k_0)+k_0-1]$. For $j=0$ (therefore $p = k_0 t+2$) the lemma is satisfied (see equation (4.16)). Let $p \geq p_0$ such that $p+1 \leq k_0 t+(j+1)(s-k_0)+k_0-1$. Collecting the terms of bidegree (m,n) in $(z,\overline{z})$ in (4.18) with $m+n=p$, we obtain the following

$$g_{\mathrm{nor}}^{(p)}(z,P(z,\overline{z})) = 2\mathsf{Re}\left\{P_z(z,\overline{z})f_{\mathrm{nor}}^{(p-1)}(z,P(z,\overline{z}))\right\} + a'_p(z,\overline{z}) - a_p(z,\overline{z}) + \mathbb{P}_{N_s}^p(z,\overline{z}). \tag{4.19}$$

Because the Fischer normalization conditions (1.12) are satisfied we find a normalized solution $\left(f_{\rm nor}^{(p-1)}(z,w), g_{\rm nor}^{(p)}(z,w)\right)$ for (4.19) and as in the case of Huang-Yin[**15**] the following estimates hold

$$\text{wt}\left\{f_{\rm nor}^{(p-1)}(z,w)\right\},\ \text{wt}\left\{\overline{f_{\rm nor}^{(p-1)}(z,w)}\right\}\geq N_s-s+1,\quad \left\{g_{\rm nor}^{(p)}(z,w)\right\},\ \text{wt}\left\{g_{\rm nor}^{(p)}(z,w)-g_{\rm nor}^{(p)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)\right\}\geq N_s,$$
$$\text{wt}\left\{\overline{f_{\rm nor}^{(p-1)}(z,w)-f_{\rm nor}^{(p-1)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)}\right\},\quad \text{wt}\left\{f_{\rm nor}^{(p-1)}(z,w)-f_{\rm nor}^{(p-1)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)\right\}\geq N_s-s+1, \tag{4.20}$$

where w is given by (4.3). By (4.20) we obtain the following

$$g_{\rm nor}^{(p)}(z,w)-g_{\rm nor}^{(p)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)=\Theta_{N_s}^{p+1}(z,\overline{z})',\quad 2\text{Re}\left\{P_z(z,\overline{z})\left(f_{\rm nor}^{(p-1)}(z,w)-f_{\rm nor}^{(p-1)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)\right)\right\}=\Theta_{N_s}^{p+1}(z,\overline{z})'$$
$$2\text{Re}\left\{\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})f_{\rm nor}^{(p-1)}(z,w)\right\}=\Theta_{N_s}^{p+1}(z,\overline{z})', \tag{4.21}$$

and each of the preceding formal power series $\Theta_{N_s}^{p+1}(z,\overline{z})'$ has the property $\text{wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta_{N_s}^{p+1}(z,\overline{z})'}\right\}\geq N_s$. Substituting $f_{\geq p-1}(z,w)=f_{\rm nor}^{(p-1)}(z,w)+f_{\geq p}(z,w)$ and $g_{\geq p}(z,w)=g_{\rm nor}^{(p)}(z,w)+g_{\geq p+1}(z,w)$ into (4.18), it follows that

$$g_{\rm nor}^{(p)}(z,w)+g_{\geq p+1}(z,w)=2\text{Re}\left\{\overline{a}\left(\overline{\alpha}-s\right)\left(\alpha-\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)^j z^{s(j+1)+k_0-1}w^{t-j}\right\}$$
$$+2\text{Re}\left\{\left(P_z(z,\overline{z})+sz^{s-1}+\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})\right)\left(f_{\rm nor}^{(p-1)}(z,w)+f_{\geq p}(z,w)\right)\right\}+\mathbb{P}_{N_s}^{p}(z,\overline{z})$$
$$+a'_{\geq p}(z,\overline{z})-a_{\geq p}(z,\overline{z})+\Theta_{N_s}^{p+1}(z,\overline{z}). \tag{4.22}$$

By making a simplification in (4.22) by using (4.19), it follows that

$$g_{\geq p+1}(z,w)=2\text{Re}\left\{\overline{a}\left(\overline{\alpha}-s\right)\left(\alpha-\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)^j z^{s(j+1)+k_0-1}w^{t-j}\right\}$$
$$+2\text{Re}\left\{\left(P_z(z,\overline{z})+sz^{s-1}+\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})\right)f_{\geq p}(z,w)\right\}+a'_{\geq p+1}(z,\overline{z})-a_{\geq p+1}(z,\overline{z})+J(z,\overline{z})+\Theta_{N_s}^{p+1}(z,\overline{z}), \tag{4.23}$$

where we have used the following notation

$$2\text{Re}\left\{P_z(z,\overline{z})\left(f_{\rm nor}^{(p-1)}(z,w)-f_{\rm nor}^{(p-1)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)\right)\right\}+2\text{Re}\left\{\left(sz^{s-1}+\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})\right)f_{\rm nor}^{(p-1)}(z,w)\right\}$$
$$+g_{\rm nor}^{(p)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)-g_{\rm nor}^{(p)}(z,w). \tag{4.24}$$

By (4.20) and (4.21) it follows that $J(z,\overline{z})=\Theta_{N_s}^{p+1}(z,\overline{z})$, where $\text{wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta_{N_s}^{p+1}(z,\overline{z})}\right\}\geq N_s$.

Step 2. Assume that we have proved Lemma 4.6 for $p\in[k_0t+j\,(s-k_0)+k_0,k_0t+(j+1)\,(s-k_0)+k_0-1]$, for $j\in[0,t-1]$. We prove Lemma 4.6 for $p\in[k_0t+(j+1)\,(s-k_0)+k_0,k_0t+(j+2)\,(s-k_0)+k_0-1]$. Adapting Huang-Yin's strategy[**15**] to our case, we define the following map

$$f_{\rm nor}^{(\Lambda)}(z,w)=f_1^{(\Lambda)}(z,w)+f_2^{(\Lambda)}(z,w),\quad f_1^{(\Lambda)}(z,w)=-\frac{\overline{a}}{\alpha}\left(\overline{\alpha}-s\right)\left(\alpha-\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)^j z^{s(j+1)+k_0}w^{t-j-2}. \tag{4.25}$$

Substituting $f_{\geq\Lambda}(z,w)=f_{\rm nor}^{(\Lambda)}(z,w)+f_{\geq\Lambda+1}(z,w)$ and $g_{\geq\Lambda+1}(z,w)=g_{\rm nor}^{(\Lambda+1)}(z,w)+g_{\geq\Lambda+2}(z,w)$ in (4.18), we obtain the following

$$g_{\rm nor}^{(\Lambda+1)}(z,w)+g_{\geq\Lambda+2}(z,w)=2\text{Re}\left\{\overline{a}\left(\overline{\alpha}-s\right)\left(\alpha-\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)^j z^{s(j+1)+k_0-1}w^{t-j-1}\right\}+\mathbb{P}_{N_s}^{\Lambda+1}(z,\overline{z})+\Theta_{N_s}^{\Lambda+2}(z,\overline{z})$$
$$+2\text{Re}\left\{\left(P_z(z,\overline{z})+sz^{s-1}+\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})\right)\left(f_{\rm nor}^{(\Lambda)}(z,w)+f_{\geq\Lambda+1}(z,w)\right)\right\}$$
$$+a_{\Lambda+1}(z,\overline{z})-a'_{\Lambda+1}(z,\overline{z})+a'_{\geq\Lambda+2}(z,\overline{z})-a_{\geq\Lambda+2}(z,\overline{z}). \tag{4.26}$$

Rewriting (4.26) using (4.25), we obtain the following

$$g_{\rm nor}^{(\Lambda+1)}(z,w)+g_{\geq\Lambda+2}(z,w)=2\text{Re}\left\{\left(P_z(z,\overline{z})+sz^{s-1}+\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})\right)\left(f_{\geq\Lambda+1}(z,w)+f_2^{(\Lambda)}(z,w)\right)\right\}$$
$$+a'_{\geq\Lambda+2}(z,\overline{z})-a_{\geq\Lambda+2}(z,\overline{z})+\Theta_{N_s}^{\Lambda+2}(z,\overline{z})+J(z,\overline{z}), \tag{4.27}$$

where we have used the following notation

$$J(z,\overline{z})=2\text{Re}\left\{P_z(z,\overline{z})\left(f_1^{(\Lambda)}(z,w)-f_1^{(\Lambda)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)\right)\right\}+2\text{Re}\left\{\left(\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})+sz^{s-1}\right)f_1^{(\Lambda)}(z,w)\right\}$$
$$+2\text{Re}\left\{\overline{a}\left(\overline{\alpha}-s\right)\left(\alpha-\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)^j z^{s(j+1)+k_0-1}\left(w^{t-j-1}-\left(P(z,\overline{z})\right)^{t-j-1}\right)\right\}. \tag{4.28}$$

By (4.28) and because the Fischer normalization conditions (1.12) remain preserved, it follows by (4.25) after some computations and some simplifications the following

$$J(z,\overline{z})=2\text{Re}\left\{\overline{a}\left(\overline{\alpha}-s\right)\left(\alpha-\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)^{j+1} z^{s(j+2)+k_0-1}w^{t-j-2}\right\}+\Theta_{N_s}^{p+1}(z,\overline{z}).$$

Collecting the terms of bidegree (m,n) in $(z,\overline{z})$ in (4.27) with $m+n=\Lambda+1:=k_0t+(j+1)\,(s-k_0)+k_0-1$, we obtain the following

$$g_{\rm nor}^{(\Lambda+1)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)=2\text{Re}\left\{P_z(z,\overline{z})f_2^{(\Lambda)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)\right\}+a'_{\Lambda+1}(z,\overline{z})-a_{\Lambda+1}(z,\overline{z})+\mathbb{P}_{N_s}^{\Lambda+1}(z,\overline{z}). \tag{4.29}$$

Because the corresponding Fischer normalization conditions (1.12) are satisfied we find a solution $\left(g_{\rm nor}^{(\Lambda+1)}(z,w),\ f_2^{(\Lambda)}(z,w)\right)$ for (4.29) satisfying the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}(4.30)\quad &\text{wt}\left\{g_{\rm nor}^{(\Lambda+1)}(z,w)-g_{\rm nor}^{(\Lambda+1)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)\right\},\text{wt}\left\{g_{\rm nor}^{(\Lambda+1)}(z,w)\right\},\ \text{wt}\left\{g_{\rm nor}^{(\Lambda+1)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)\right\}\geq N_s,\\ &\text{wt}\left\{\overline{f_2^{(\Lambda)}(z,w)}\right\},\text{wt}\left\{f_2^{(\Lambda)}(z,w)\right\},\text{wt}\left\{f_2^{(\Lambda)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)\right\},\text{wt}\left\{\overline{f_2^{(\Lambda)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)}\right\}\geq N_s-s+1,\end{aligned}$$

where w satisfies (4.3). As a consequence of (4.30) we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned}(4.31)\quad &2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\left(sz^{s-1}+\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})\right)f_2^{(\Lambda)}(z,w)\right\}=\Theta_{N_s}^{\Lambda+2}(z,\overline{z})',\quad g_{\rm nor}^{(\Lambda+1)}(z,w)-g_{\rm nor}^{(\Lambda+1)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)=\Theta_{N_s}^{\Lambda+2}(z,\overline{z})',\\ &2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\left(f_2^{(\Lambda)}(z,w)-f_2^{(\Lambda)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)\right)P_z(z,\overline{z})\right\}=\Theta_{N_s}^{\Lambda+2}(z,\overline{z})',\end{aligned}$$

where w satisfies (4.3) and each of the preceding formal power series has the property $\text{wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta_{N_s}^{\Lambda+2}(z,\overline{z})}\right\}\geq N_s$. Following the computations the first step of the proof of this lemma, we finish the proof. □

Collecting the terms of bidegree (m,n) in $(z,\overline{z})$ with $m+n=ts+k_0-1$ and $t=j-1$ in (4.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(4.32)\quad g_{\rm nor}^{(ts+k_0-1)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)&=2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\overline{a}\left(\overline{\alpha}-s\right)\left(\alpha-\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)^{t-1}z^{ts+k_0-1}\right\}+2\mathsf{Re}\left\{P_z(z,\overline{z})f_{\rm nor}^{(ts)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)\right\}\\ &+a'_{ts+k_0-1}(z,\overline{z})-a_{ts+k_0-1}(z,\overline{z})+(\Theta_1)_{N_s}^{ts+k_0-1}(z,\overline{z}),\end{aligned}$$

where $\text{wt}\left\{\overline{(\Theta_1)_{\rm N_s}^{\rm ts+k_0-1}(\rm z,\overline{z})}\right\}\geq \rm N_s$. By imposing the corresponding Fischer normalization conditions (1.12) we find the solution

$$\left(f_{\rm nor}^{(ts)}(z,w),\ g_{\rm nor}^{(ts+k_0-1)}(z,w)\right),$$

for (4.32). The parameter a is computed by considering the corresponding Fischer normalization condition (1.13) in this case.

By composing the map that sends M into (4.3) with the map (4.5) we obtain our formal transformation that sends M into M' up to degree $ts+k_0-1$.

4.4. Proof of Theorem 1.1-Case $T+1=ts+s$, $t\geq 1$. Throughout this subsection we consider the case when $T+1=ts+s$, where $t\geq 1$. We are looking for a biholomorphic transformation of the following type

$$(4.33)\quad \left(z',w'\right)=\left(z+f(z,w),\ w+g(z,w)\right)=\left(z+\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t-k_0}f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l+1)}(z,w),\ w+\sum_{\tau=0}^{T-k_0t-k_0}g_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+k_0+\tau)}(z,w)\right),$$

that maps M into M' up to the degree $T+1=ts+s$. In order for the preceding mapping to be uniquely determined we assume that $f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l+1)}(z,w)$ has no free parameters for all $l=1,\dots T-k_0t-k_0+1$. Substituting (4.33) into (4.4), we obtain by a simplification with (4.3) the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}(4.34)\quad &\sum_{\tau=0}^{T-k_0t-k_0}g_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+k_0+\tau)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right)=2\mathsf{Re}\left\{P_z(z,\overline{z})\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t-k_0}f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l+1)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right)\right\}\\ &+2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\sum_{\alpha+\beta=2}^{k_0}P_{z^\alpha\overline{z}^\beta}(z,\overline{z})\left(\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t-k_0}f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l+1)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right)\right)^\alpha\right.\\ &\left.\left(\overline{\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t-k_0}f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l+1)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right)}\right)^\beta\right\}\\ &+a'_{\geq k_0+1}\left(z+\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t-k_0}f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l+1)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right),\overline{z+\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t-k_0}f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l+1)}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})+a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z})\right)}\right)\\ &-a_{\geq k_0+1}(z,\overline{z}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $f(z,w)$ and $g(z,w)$ do not have components of normal weight less than k_0t+k_0, collecting in (4.6) the sum of terms of bidegree (m,n) in $(z,\overline{z})$ with $m+n<k_0t+k_0$, we obtain that $a'_{m,n}=a_{m,n}$. Collecting the sum of terms of bidegree (m,n) in $(z,\overline{z})$ with $m+n=k_0t+k_0$ from (4.6), we prove the following lemma:

LEMMA 4.7. *$g_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+k_0)}(z,w)=\left(\alpha a+\overline{\alpha a}\right)w^{t+1}$ and $f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+1)}(z,w)=azw^t$, where α is defined by (1.9).*

PROOF. Considering the corresponding iterated Fischer normalization conditions defined in (1.12), the terms that provides us the undetermined parameter a are the following $g_{0,t+1}\left(P(z,\overline{z})\right)^{t+1}-\left(f_{1,t}zP_z(z,\overline{z})+\overline{f_{1,t}zP_z(z,\overline{z})}\right)\left(P(z,z)\right)^t$. By the uniqueness of the Fischer decomposition we obtain our conclusion by taking $a=f_{1,t}$ and by using the Fischer decomposition $zP_z(z,\overline{z})=\alpha P\left(z,\overline{z}\right)+R\left(z,\overline{z}\right)$, where $P^\star\left(R(z,\overline{z})\right)=0$. □

By (4.2) we write that $f(z,w)=f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+1)}(z,w)+f_{\geq k_0t+2}(z,w)$ and $g(z,w)=g_{\geq k_0t+k_0}(z,w)$, where

$$f_{\geq k_0t+2}(z,w)=\sum_{k+2l\geq k_0t+2}f_{k,l}z^kw^l.$$

By Lemma 4.7) it follows that $\mathrm{wt}\left\{f_{\geq k_0t+2}(z,w)\right\}\geq \min\limits_{k+k_0l\geq k_0t+2}\{k+ls\}\geq \min\limits_{k+k_0l\geq k_0t+2}\{k+k_0l\}\geq k_0t+2$, and afterwards we obtain the following immediate estimates

$$\mathrm{wt}\left\{f_{\geq k_0t+2}(z,w)\right\},\mathrm{wt}\left\{\overline{f_{\geq k_0t+2}(z,w)}\right\}\geq k_0t+2,\quad \mathrm{wt}\left\{f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+1)}(z,w)\right\},\mathrm{wt}\left\{\overline{f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+1)}(z,w)}\right\}\geq ts+1, \tag{4.35}$$

where w satisfies (4.3). Furthermore, by (4.35) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}P\left(z+\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t-k_0+1}f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l+1)}(z,w),\overline{z+\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t-k_0+1}f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l+1)}(z,w)}\right)&=P(z,\overline{z})+\\ &2\mathsf{Re}\left\{P_z(z,\overline{z})\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t-k_0+1}f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l+1)}(z,w)\right\}\\ &+2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\sum_{\gamma+\beta=2}^{k_0}P_{z^\gamma\overline{z}^\beta}(z,\overline{z})\left(\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t-k_0+1}f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l+1)}(z,w)\right)^\gamma\left(\overline{\sum_{l=0}^{T-k_0t-k_0+1}f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+l+1)}(z,w)}\right)^\beta\right\}\\ &=P(z,\overline{z})+2\mathsf{Re}\left\{P_z(z,\overline{z})f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+1)}(z,w)\right\}+2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})f_{\geq k_0t+2}(z,w)\right\}+\Theta_{ts+s+1}^{k_0t+k_0}(z,\overline{z}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

where w satisfies (4.6) and $\mathrm{wt}\left\{\Theta_{\mathrm{ts+s+1}}^{\mathrm{k_0t+k_0}}(\mathrm{z},\overline{\mathrm{z}})\right\}\geq \mathrm{ts+s+1}$.

In order to track the action of the free parameter a we need to prove the following lemmas

Lemma 4.8. *For all $m,n\geq 1$ with $m+n\geq k_0+1$ and w satisfying (4.3), we have the following estimate*

$$(z+f(z,w))^m\left(\overline{z+f(z,w)}\right)^n=z^m\overline{z}^n+2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})f_{\geq k_0t+1}(z,w)\right\}+\Theta_{ts+s+1}^{k_0t+k_0}(z,\overline{z}), \tag{4.37}$$

where $\mathrm{wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta_{ts+s+1}^{k_0t+k_0}(z,\overline{z})}\right\}\geq ts+s+1$.

Proof. By the Taylor expansion we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}(z+f(z,w))^m\left(\overline{z+f(z,w)}\right)^n&=z^m\overline{z}^n+2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})\left(f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t)}(z,w)f_{\geq k_0t+1}(z,w)\right)\right\}\\ &=z^m\overline{z}^n+2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})f_{\geq k_0t+1}(z,w)\right\}+\Theta_{ts+s+1}^{k_0t+k_0}(z,\overline{z}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

where $\mathrm{wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta_{ts+s+1}^{k_0t+k_0}(z,\overline{z})}\right\}\geq ts+s+1$. □

Analogously we obtain the following

Lemma 4.9. *For w satisfying (4.6) and for all $k>s$, we have the following estimation*

$$(z+f(z,w))^k=z^k+2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})f_{\geq k_0t+2}(z,w)\right\}+\Theta_{ts+s+1}^{k_0t+k_0}(z,\overline{z}), \tag{4.39}$$

where $\mathrm{wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta_{ts+s+1}^{k_0t+k_0}(z,\overline{z})}\right\}\geq ts+s+1$.

Lemma 4.10. *For w satisfying (4.6), we have the following estimation*

$$g_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+k_0)}(z,w)-2\mathsf{Re}\left\{P\left(f_{\rm nor}^{(k_0t+1)}(z,w),\overline{z}\right)\right\}=2\mathsf{Re}\left\{a\alpha z^sw^t\right\}+\Theta_{ts+s+1}^{k_0t+k_0}(z,\overline{z}), \tag{4.40}$$

where $\mathrm{wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta_{ts+s+1}^{k_0t+k_0}(z,\overline{z})}\right\}\geq ts+s+1$.

Proof. It follows easily by the fact that the normalization conditions (1.12) remain preserved and using the Fischer decomposition $zP_z(z,\overline{z})=\alpha P(z,\overline{z})+R(z,\overline{z})$ with $P^\star\left(R(z,\overline{z})\right)=0$. □

By Lemmas 4.8-4.10 and using (4.34), in order to track the action of the parameter a in remains we prove the following lemma

Lemma 4.11. *Let $N_s'=ts+s+1$. For all $0\leq j\leq t$ and $p\in[k_0t+j\,(s-k_0)+k_0+1,k_0t+(j+1)\,(s-k_0)+k_0]$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}g_{\geq p}(z,w)=2\mathsf{Re}\left\{a\,(\alpha-s)\left(\alpha-\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)^jz^{sj}w^{t-j}\right\}&+2\mathsf{Re}\left\{\left(P_z(z,\overline{z})+sz^{s-1}+\Theta_s^{k_0}(z,\overline{z})\right)f_{\geq p-1}(z,w)\right\}\\ &+a'_{\geq p}(z,\overline{z})-a_{\geq p}(z,\overline{z})+\Theta_{N_s'}^p(z,\overline{z}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

where $\mathrm{wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta_{N_s'}^p(z,\overline{z})}\right\}\geq N_s'$, *$w$ satisfies (4.3) and α is defined by (1.9).*

Proof. The proof of the lemma has the same structure as the proof of Lemma 4.6.

Step 1. It is very similarly to the first step of the proof of Lemma 4.6.

Step 2.Assume that we have proved Lemma 4.11 for $m \in [k_0t + j\,(s-k_0) + k_0 + 1, k_0t + (j+1)\,(s-k_0) + k_0]$, for $j \in [0, t-1]$. We prove Lemma 4.11 for $m \in [k_0t + (j+1)\,(s-k_0) + k_0 + 1, k_0t + (j+2)\,(s-k_0) + k_0]$. Adapting Huang-Yin's strategy[**15**] to our case, we define the following auxiliary map

$$f^{(\Lambda)}_{\rm nor}(z,w) = f^{(\Lambda)}_1(z,w) + f^{(\Lambda)}_2(z,w), \quad f^{(\Lambda)}_1(z,w) = -\frac{a}{\alpha}\,(\alpha - s)\left(\alpha - \frac{s}{\alpha}\right)^j z^{sj+1}w^{t-j-1}. \tag{4.42}$$

Substituting $f_{\geq\Lambda}(z,w) = f^{(\Lambda)}_{\rm nor}(z,w) + f_{\geq\Lambda+1}(z,w)$ and $g_{\geq\Lambda+1}(z,w) = g^{(\Lambda+1)}_{\rm nor}(z,w) + g_{\geq\Lambda+2}(z,w)$ in (4.41), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g^{(\Lambda+1)}_{\rm nor}(z,w) + g_{\geq\Lambda+2}(z,w) = {} & 2{\rm Re}\left\{a\,(\alpha-s)\left(\alpha-\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)^j z^{sj}w^{t-j}\right\} + \mathbb{P}^{\Lambda+1}_{N_s}(z,\overline{z}) + \Theta^{\Lambda+2}_{N_s}(z,\overline{z}) \\ & + 2{\rm Re}\left\{\left(P_z(z,\overline{z}) + sz^{s-1} + \Theta^{k_0}_s(z,\overline{z})\right)\left(f^{(\Lambda)}_{\rm nor}(z,w) + f_{\geq\Lambda+1}(z,w)\right)\right\} \\ & + a_{\Lambda+1}(z,\overline{z}) - a'_{\Lambda+1}(z,\overline{z}) + a'_{\geq\Lambda+2}(z,\overline{z}) - a_{\geq\Lambda+2}(z,\overline{z}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

Rewriting (4.43) using (4.42), we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} g^{(\Lambda+1)}_{\rm nor}(z,w) + g_{\geq\Lambda+2}(z,w) = {} & 2{\rm Re}\left\{\left(P_z(z,\overline{z}) + sz^{s-1} + \Theta^{k_0}_s(z,\overline{z})\right)\left(f_{\geq\Lambda+1}(z,w) + f^{(\Lambda)}_2(z,w)\right)\right\} \\ & + a'_{\geq\Lambda+2}(z,\overline{z}) - a_{\geq\Lambda+2}(z,\overline{z}) + \Theta^{\Lambda+2}_{N'_s}(z,\overline{z}) + J'(z,\overline{z}), \end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

where we have used the following notation

$$\begin{aligned} J'(z,\overline{z}) = {} & 2{\rm Re}\left\{P_z(z,\overline{z})\left(f^{(\Lambda)}_1(z,w) - f^{(\Lambda)}_1\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)\right)\right\} + 2{\rm Re}\left\{\left(\Theta^{k_0}_s(z,\overline{z}) + sz^{s-1}\right)f^{(\Lambda)}_1(z,w)\right\} \\ & + 2{\rm Re}\left\{a\,(\alpha-s)\left(\alpha-\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)^j z^{sj}\left(w^{t-j} - \left(P(z,\overline{z})\right)^{t-j}\right)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

By (4.45) and because the Fischer normalization conditions (1.12) remain preserved, it follows by (4.42) after some computations and some simplifications the following

$$J'(z,\overline{z}) = 2{\rm Re}\left\{a\,(\alpha-s)\left(\alpha-\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)^{j+1} z^{s(j+1)}w^{t-j-1}\right\} + \Theta^{p+1}_{N'_s}(z,\overline{z}),$$

where ${\rm wt}\left\{\overline{\Theta^{\rm p+1}_{\rm N'_s}(z,\overline{z})}\right\} \geq {\rm N'_s}$. We complete the proof by reiterating the computations of the first step. □

Collecting the terms of bidegree (m,n) in $(z,\overline{z})$ with $m+n = ts+s$ and $t = j$ in (4.41), we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} g^{(ts+s)}_{\rm nor}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right) = {} & 2{\rm Re}\left\{a\,(\alpha-s)\left(\alpha-\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)^t z^{ts+s}\right\} + 2{\rm Re}\left\{P_z(z,\overline{z})f^{(ts+s-k_0+1)}_{\rm nor}\left(z,P(z,\overline{z})\right)\right\} \\ & + a'_{ts+s}(z,\overline{z}) - a_{ts+s}(z,\overline{z}) + (\Theta_1)^{ts+s}_{N'_s}(z,\overline{z}), \end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

${\rm wt}\left\{\overline{(\Theta_1)^{\rm ts+s}_{\rm N'_s}(z,\overline{z})}\right\} \geq {\rm N'_s}$. By imposing the corresponding Fischer normalization conditions (1.12) we find the solution for (4.46)

$$\left(f^{(ts+s-k_0+1)}_{\rm nor}(z,w),\ g^{(ts+s)}_{\rm nor}(z,w)\right).$$

The parameter a is computed by imposing the corresponding normalization condition (1.13) in this case.

By composing the map that sends M into (4.3) with the map (4.33) we obtain our formal transformation that sends M into M' up to degree $ts+s$.

The uniqueness of the formal transformation (1.10) can be proven following the lines from [**6**]. It is enough to show that any formal transformation between two real formal surfaces satisfying the Fischer normalization conditions (1.12) and (1.13) is just the identity. The proof follows as in [**6**] applying the arguments used during the first step and during the second step of the above construction.

5. Some Open Problems

If (z,w) be the coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^2$, one question that arises is to find a normal form for the real surfaces defined by (1.5) when the polynomial $P(z,\overline{z})$ contains pure terms having their coefficients non-vanishing. The coefficient of $\overline{z}^{k_0}$ plays the role of the Bishop invariant in this case. In the classical case (1.1) this problem has been solved by Moser-Webster[**24**].

Another question that arises is if we can construct an example of two real-analytic submanifolds in the complex space that are formally biholomorphically equivalent, but not biholomorphically equivalent. In $\mathbb{C}^2$ we mention that Gong [**12**] in the CR singular case, and respectively Kossovskiy-Shafikov [**21**] in the CR-case, constructed examples of real-analytic submanifolds in the complex space satisfying the previous property.

References

[1] **Bharali, G.** — Surfaces with CR singularities that are locally polynomially convex, *Michigan Math. J.* **53** (2005), 429 − 445.
[2] **Bharali, G.** — Polynomial approximation, local polynomial convexity, and degenerate CR singularities, *J. Funct. Anal.* **256** (2006), 351 − 368.
[3] **Bharali, G.** —Polynomial approximation, local polynomial convexity, and degenerate CR singularities II, *Internat. J. Math.* **22** (2011), 1721 − 1733.
[4] **Bharali, G.** — The local polynomial hull near a degenerate CR singularity – Bishop discs revisited. *Math. Z.* **271** (2012), 1043 − 1063.
[5] **Bishop, E.** — Differentiable manifolds in complex Euclidian space, *Duke Math. J.* **32** (1965), no. 1, 1 − 21.
[6] **Burcea, V.** — A normal form for a 2-codimensional submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ near a CR singurity, *Adv. in Math.* **243** (2013), 262 − 295.
[7] **Coffman, A.**— CR Singularities of real threefolds in $\mathbb{C}^4$, *Adv. Geom.* **6** (2006), no 1, 109 − 137.
[8] **Coffman, A.**— CR singularities of real fourfolds in $\mathbb{C}^3$, *Illinois J. Math.* **53** (2009), no. 3, 939 − 981.
[9] **Forsternic, F.; Stout, E.L.**— A new class of polynomially convex sets in $\mathbb{C}^2$, *Arkiv Mat.* **29** (1991), 51 − 62.
[10] **Gong, X.**— Normal forms of real surfaces under unimodular transformations near elliptic complex tangents, *Duke. Math. J.* **74** (1994), no. 1, 145 − 157.

[11] **Gong, X.**— Real analytic submanifolds under unimodular transformations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1995), no. 1, 191 − 200.
[12] **Gong, X.**— Existence of real analytic surfaces with hyperbolic complex tangent that are formally, but not holomorphically equivalent to quadrics, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **53** (2004), no. 1, 83 − 95.
[13] **Huang, X.; Krantz, S.**— On a problem of Moser, *Duke Math. J.* **78** (1995), no. 1, 213 − 228.
[14] **Huang, X.; Yin, W.**— A codimension two CR singular submanifold that is formally equivalent to a symmetric quadric, *Int. Math. Res. Notices* (2009), no. 15, 2789 − 2828.
[15] **Huang, X.; Yin, W.**— A Bishop surface with vanishing Bishop invariant, *Invent. Math.* **176** (2010), no. 3, 461 − 520.
[16] **Huang, X.; Yin, W.**—Flattening of CR singular points and the analicity of the local hull of holomorphy, http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.5146.
[17] **Jöricke, B.**— Local polynomial hulls of discs near isolated parabolic points, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **46** (1997), no. 3, 789 − 826.
[18] **Kenig, C; Webster, S.** —The hull of holomorphy of a surface in the space of two complex variables, *Inv. Math.* **19** (1982), no. 2, 261 − 280.
[19] **Kolar, M.** — Normal forms for hypersurfaces of finite type in $\mathbb{C}^2$. *Math. Res. Lett.* **12** (2005), no. 6, 897 − 910.
[20] **Kolar, M.** — Finite type hypersurfaces with divergent normal form, *Math. Anallen.* **354** (2012), no. 3, 813 − 825.
[21] **Kossovskiy, I. ; Shafikov, R.**—Divergent CR-Equivalences and Meromorphic Differential Equations. To appear in Journal of European Math. Society, http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.6799.
[22] **Kossovskiy, I. ; Zaitsev, D.**—Convergent normal form for real hypersurfaces at generic Levi degeneracy, http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.1743.
[23] **Shapiro, H.** —Algebraic Theorem of E.Fischer and the holomorphic Goursat problem, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **21** (1989), no 6, 513 − 537.
[24] **Moser, J.; Webster, S.** — Normal forms for real surfaces in $\mathbb{C}^2$ near complex tangents and hyperbolic surface transformations, *Acta Math.* **150** (1983), 255 − 296.
[25] **Moser, J.** — Analytic Surfaces in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and their local hull of holomorphy, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.I. Math.* **10** (1985), 397-410.
[26] **Zaitsev, D.** — New Normal Forms for Levi-nondegenerate Hypersurfaces, *Several Complex Variables and Connections with PDE Theory and Geometry*. Complex analysis-Trends in Mathematics, Birkhauser Verlag, (*Special Issue: In the honor of Linda Preiss Rothschild*), pp. 321 − 340, Basel/ Switzerland, (2010).
[27] **Zaitsev, D.** — Normal forms of non-integrable almost CR structures, *Amer. J. Math.* **134** (2012), no.4, 915 − 947.
[28] **Zaitsev, D.**— A normal form for all Levi-nondegenerate almost CR structures. *Illinois J. Math.* **56** (2012), no. 1, 273 − 280.